1 THE HONORABLE STANLEY A. BASTIAN 2 MICHAEL E. McFARLAND, JR., #23000 3 Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S. 4 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 5 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632 6 Attorneys for Defendants 7 8 IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 10 RANDEY THOMPSON, 11 12 Plaintiff, Cause No. 2:21-cv-00252-SAB 13 VS. 14 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S CENTRAL VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT 15 NO. 365; BEN SMALL INDIVIDUALLY COMPLAINT FOR (1) FIRST 16 AS SUPERINTENDENT **OF** THE **AMENDMENT** 17 CENTRAL VALLEY **SCHOOL RETAILIATION CLAIMS** DISTRICT, CENTRAL **VALLEY UNDER FIRST** 18 SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 365 BOARD OF AMENDMENT OF THE 19 **EDUCATION** UNTIED STATED AND IN THEIR 20 INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY BOARD OF CONSTITUTION; (2) 42 **EDUCATION MEMBERS** AND U.S.C. § 1983 CLAIMS; (3) 21 DIRECTORS DEBRA LONG, MYSTI INJUNCTION; AND (4) 22 RENEAU. KEITH CLARK, TOM **PRELIMINARY** 23 DINGUS, AND CYNTHIA MCMULLEN, INJUNCTION AND **TEMPORARY** 24 Defendants. RESTRAINING ORDER 25 26 COME NOW Defendants CENTRAL VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT 27 28 NO. 365 ("CVSD"), BEN SMALL, DEBRA LONG, MYSTI RENEAU, KEITH 29 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER Evans, Craven & Lack ie, P.S. 30 TO COMPLAINT - page 1 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910

(509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

1 CLARK, TOM DINGUS, and CYNTHIA MCMULLEN, by and through their 2 attorneys of record of the law firm Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S., and enter this 3 4 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint as follows: 5 **COMPLAINT** 6 7 Plaintiff's Complaint contains an introduction consisting of a case citation 8 and a section entitled "Complaint." These introductory statements do not contain 9 10 factual assertions to which responses are deemed necessary. To the extent a 11 response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability that 12 13 may be contained therein. 14 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 15 16 1. Admit. 17 2. Admit. 18 19 **PARTIES** 20 3. Admit. 21 22 4. Admit. 23 5. Admit. 24 25 6. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph No. 6 of Plaintiff's 26 Complaint. The second sentence is a legal conclusion to which no 27 28 29 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER Evans, Craven & Lack ie , P.S. 30 TO COMPLAINT - page 2 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250

Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

- response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 7. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph No. 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint. The second sentence is a legal conclusion to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 8. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph No. 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint. The second sentence is a legal conclusion to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 9. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph No. 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint. The second sentence is a legal conclusion to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 10. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph No. 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint. The second sentence is a legal conclusion to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - page 3

- 11. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph No. 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint. The second sentence is a legal conclusion to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 12. Admit.
- 13. Admit.
- 14. Admit.
- 15. Admit.
- 16. Defendants admit that on or about August 17, 2020, Plaintiff made a post on his Facebook account. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph No. 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 17. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph No. 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 18. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph No. 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 19. Deny.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - page 4

concerns about the Facebook post. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph No. 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

- 28. Admit.
- 29. Deny.
- 30. Admit.
- 31. Defendants admit that Defendant School District and Defendant Ben Small prohibited Plaintiff from having any contact or speaking with any administrators, employees, parents or students of the district. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph No. 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 32. Defendants admit that Plaintiff was advised by Defendant Ben Small by an email copy of a letter that he was being transferred from his Assistant Principal position to a teaching position on May 17, 2021. Defendants deny all remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 33. Admit.
- 34. Defendants admit that Plaintiff met with the individual Defendants and Board Members/Directors of Defendant School District on June 14, 2021

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - page 6

in an online executive session during a regularly scheduled school board meeting. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph No. 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

35. Defendants admit that the Defendant Board of Education and the individual Defendants and members/directors affirmed and effectively upheld the decision of Defendant, Ben Small, to transfer Plaintiff from an assistant principal to a to a subordinate certificated position on June 16, 2021, by memorializing a letter to Plaintiff of their decision. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph No. 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

36. Deny.

COUNT ONE FIRST AMENDMENT RETAILIATION AND VIOLATION OF MR. THOMPSON'S FIRST AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS – 42 U.S.C. § 1983

37. No response to Paragraph No. 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint is deemed necessary, as it does not set forth facts or allegations that can be admitted or denied. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - page 7

- 38. Paragraph No. 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint consists of legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 39. Paragraph No. 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint consists of legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 40. Paragraph No. 40 of Plaintiff's Complaint consists of legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 41. Paragraph No. 41 of Plaintiff's Complaint consists of legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 42. Paragraph No. 42 of Plaintiff's Complaint consists of legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 43. Paragraph No. 43 of Plaintiff's Complaint consists of legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability.

44. Deny.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - page 8

1 45. Deny. 2 46. Deny. 3 4 47. Defendants admit that Defendant School District's Board had authority to set policy for Defendant, School District. The remaining allegations 6 7 contained in Paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's Complaint consists of legal 8 conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a 9 10 response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny all allegations of liability. 11 48. Deny. 12 13 49. Deny. 14 **COUNT TWO – INJUNCTIVE RELIEF** 15 16 50. No response to Paragraph No. 50 of Plaintiff's Complaint is deemed 17 necessary, as it does not set forth facts or allegations that can be admitted 18 19 or denied. To the extent that a response is necessary, Defendants deny all 20 allegations of liability. 21 22 51. Deny. 23 52. Deny. 24 25 53. Deny. 26 54. Deny. 27 28 55. Deny. 29 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER Evans, Craven & Lack ie, P.S. 30 TO COMPLAINT - page 9 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

56. Deny.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - page 10

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief in this matter. Defendants further deny any and all allegations of liability, as well as the existence, nature and extent of Plaintiff's claimed injuries and damages.

To the extent any allegation contained in Plaintiff's Complaint was not specifically admitted above, or lack of knowledge is claimed, it is denied.

JURY DEMAND

In accordance with F.R.C.P. 38 and pursuant to the Seventh Amendment, Defendants hereby demand this matter appear before a jury.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendants CVSD, Ben Small, Debra Long, Mysti Reneau, Keith Clark, Tom Dingus and Cynthia McMullen hereby assert the following affirmative defenses:

- 1. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against Defendants.
- 2. Plaintiff's transfer of an administrative official to a subordinate certificated position was affected in proper accordance with RCW § 28A.405.230.

- 3. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or part by reason of his failure to mitigate his alleged damages and/or the doctrine of after-acquired evidence.
- 4. Defendants' actions or conduct, in their individual and/or official capacity, were reasonable at all times relevant hereto and were undertaken in good faith, and without any requisite culpable intent of committing a violation of any of Plaintiff's clearly established constitutional rights. The individually-named defendants are therefore entitled to qualified immunity.
- 5. Plaintiff's own actions and conduct preclude his recovery.
- 6. Defendants' conduct throughout the investigation of Plaintiff was reasonable, and had no motive based on Plaintiff's political preferences.
- 7. The action Plaintiff challenges was based on reasonable factors other than posting a political message.
- 8. Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to include additional affirmative defenses and/or counterclaims that may more fully develop and/or any counterclaim to third party action that may be appropriate.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants respectfully request that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT - page 11

Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S.

818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

1	and award these answering Defendants their costs and attorneys' fees in	curred in
2	defending against these claims	
3	bereitering ugumes and element.	
4	DATED this 30 th day of September, 2021.	
5	EVANS, CRAVEN & LACKIE, P.S.	
6		
7	By: s/Michael E. McFarland, Jr.	
8	MICHAEL E. McFARLAND, JR., #230	00
9	Truotheys for Berendants	
10 11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	
28	8	
29	DEEENDANTS' ANGWED	
30	TO COMPLAINT - page 12 Evans, Graven & Lac 818 W. Rivers	ide, Suite 250 A 99201-0910

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I hereby certify that on September 30, 2021, I electronically filed the 3 foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send 4 notification of such filing to the following: 5 Michael B. Love 6 Michael Love Law, PLLC 7 905 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 404 8 Spokane, WA 99201 9 mike@michaellovelaw.com Email: 10 Robert F. Greer 11 Feltman Ewing, PS 12 421 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 1600 Spokane, WAm99201 13 robg@feltmanewing.com Email: 14 15 16 Michael E. McFarland, Jr. 17 MICHAEL E. McFARLAND, #23000 18 Attorney for Defendants Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S. 19 818 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 250 20 Spokane, Washington 99201 21 (509) 455-5200 (509) 455-3632 Facsimile 22 MMcFarland@ecl-law.com 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER Evans, Craven & Lack ie , P.S. 30 TO COMPLAINT - page 13

818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632